



# **Interest Rate Risk and Cross-Sectional Effects of Micro-Prudential Regulation**

Juliane Begenau, Vadim Elenev, Tim Landvoigt

A Discussion by  
Markus Brunnermeier

ECB Research Conference  
17. September, 2025

# Macrofinance & bank regulation

- Productive firms & Households
- Banking sector (representative) with wealth share  $\eta_t$
- **Time-series focus** – “Resilience trade-off” (with Gopalakrishna & Merkel)
  - Amplification, fire-sales, deflation
  - But ... delayed bounce-back (worse resilience)
    - Shift in wealth share of banking sector is key state variable
    - *Major contributions by Juliane Begenau with ...*
- **Cross-sectional focus**
  - Banks are heterogenous in
    - Lending capacity
    - Money creation capacity
    - Monitoring
    - Diversifying



**THIS PAPER**

# Summary

- Two periods
- Heterogenous banks

Loans (with credit risk)		Insured Deposits
Bonds (with interest rate risk)	$\omega$	Uninsured Deposits
Risk-free asset	$(1 - \omega)(1 + r)$	Equity

- Q1: Why can't portfolio weight ... not be chosen?
  - Local monopolies
- Households (representative)


$$U(C_0, C_1, \{D_i^I\}, \{D_i^U\}) = \log(C_0) + \psi \log(H(\{D_i^I\}, \{D_i^U\})) + \beta \log(E[C_1^{1-\varphi}]^{1/(1-\varphi)})$$

- CES liquidity aggregator.

$$H(\{D_i^I\}, \{D_i^U\}) = \left[ \alpha \left( \int_0^1 (A_i^D D_i^I)^{\rho_I} di \right)^{\eta/\rho_I} + (1 - \alpha) \left( \int_0^1 (A_i^D D_i^U)^{\rho_U} di \right)^{\eta/\rho_U} \right]^{1/\eta},$$

- Q2: Is Discrete Choice Model equivalent?      Q3: Why  $\rho_U \neq 1 - \rho_I$ ?

# Main Calibration Results (selective)

- 
1. Bank size concentration
    - Due to DRS loan technology
  2. Large banks hold loans and issue **uninsured** deposits => **only run on them**
    - Largest banks hold more bonds than large banks (to contain run risk)
  3. Small banks hold bonds and issue insured deposits
    - Focus on deposit business

## Comparative Static

- Increasing risky bond volatility (symmetrically)
  - Doubles defaults of large banks
- Increases down risk risk of (risky) bond returns

Q: Does this depend on  $\omega$ ?

Remark: Model has many degrees of freedom (esp. liquidity preferences)


Remark 2: Banks' **franchise value** is much larger in **multi-period model** and depends on discount rate. How does it affect calibration?

# Leading examples



- Silicon Value Bank, First Republic Bank, Signature Bank
- Run from mid-sized bank(s)
- .... to large TBTF banks (JP Morgan, ...)
- TBTF analysis in paper
  - Bailout guarantee needs to higher run risk (due to increased risk taking)
- Remark: Model run from and to banks.  
(cash runs are less likely these days)

# Risk modeling

- 
- Aggregate risk  $R_K$  independent  $R_B$
  - Idiosyncratic risk  $\varepsilon_i$
  - Run risk (idiosyncratic)  $\zeta_i, R_K, R_B$
  
  - Loan risk  $A_i^K \varepsilon_i R_K K_i^{1-\kappa}$ . --- more “loan-productive banks”
    - Higher returns
    - Higher risk exposure (aggregate and idiosyncratic)
      - worse risk managers (diversifiers)!
    - Q: Do cross-sectional results depend on this assumption?
  - Loan risk  $R_K$  and bond risk  $R_B$  are independent
    - Low  $R_K$  in recessions are times when interest rate decline ( $R_B$  increases)
    - Flight-to-safety

# Economies of scale and scope

## ▪ Economies of Scale

- $R_K A_i^K \epsilon_i K_i^{1-\kappa}$  paper assumes DRS. --- key for heterogeneity in size
- Literature: Increasing Returns to Scale
  - Diversification improvements
  - IT fixed cost expenditures
- Remark 3: Justify decreasing returns to scale (do they only hold locally)

## ▪ Economies of Scope

- $A_i^K$  and  $A_i^D$  are perfectly correlated
- Kinked function:  $A_i^D = \bar{a} + A^- \min\{A_i^K - \text{med}(A_i^K), 0\} + A^+ \min\{A_i^K - \text{med}(A_i^K), 0\}$

# Exogenous fire-sale discount $\delta$

- Lucas Critique
- Fire-sale discount affects
  - Liquidity runs (range of  $[\underline{\varepsilon}, \bar{\varepsilon}]$ )
  - Solvency bankruptcy
  - Cross-sectional (heterogenous) portfolio/funding choices (leverage)
- + deflationary pressure (liability side of banks)
  - Even if run is a single (zero measure) bank, there could be predatory behavior.



# Policy Implications



- Higher **liquidity** requirements targeting uninsured deposits  
=> reduce run risk
- **Size-dependent capital** required  
=> reduce run risk      (but also cause misallocation in the lending market)

# Conclusion



- First rate finance paper!
- Explains cross-sectional empirical regularities
- Match with SVB, First Republic Bank?
- Modeling choices
  - 2 periods – does it capture true franchise value?
  - Productive bankers are worse risk managers
  - No flight-to-safety (hedge)
  - Economies of scale and scope --- DRS  $\kappa$  is key
  - Endogenous fire-sale prices feeds back to cross-section
- Nice policy implications